

ON THE RESIDUAL NILPOTENCE OF SOME VARIETAL PRODUCTS

BY
GILBERT BAUMSLAG⁽¹⁾

1. Introduction. A nonempty class \mathfrak{v} of groups is a *variety* if it is closed with respect to the formation of subgroups, factor groups and cartesian products. If G is any group, we define $\mathfrak{v}(G)$ to be the intersection of all normal subgroups N of G such that $G/N \in \mathfrak{v}$; it is not difficult to show that $G/\mathfrak{v}(G) \in \mathfrak{v}$.

Now suppose $\langle A_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle$ is a given family of groups. Let P be the free product of the groups A_λ ; then

$$F = P/\mathfrak{v}(P)$$

is called the *free \mathfrak{v} -product of the groups G_λ* (cf. S. Moran [1]). If the groups A_λ are all infinite cyclic, then F is termed a *free \mathfrak{v} -group* and the cardinality $|\Lambda|$ of Λ is the *rank* of F . The purpose of this paper is to show that, for certain varieties \mathfrak{v} , the residual nilpotence⁽²⁾ of a free \mathfrak{v} -group of infinite rank implies the residual nilpotence of the free \mathfrak{v} -product of every family of torsion-free abelian groups.

We need the notion of the composition $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{w}$ of two varieties \mathfrak{u} and \mathfrak{w} introduced by Hanna Neumann [2]. By definition $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{w}$ consists of those groups G which possess a normal subgroup $N \in \mathfrak{u}$ such that $G/N \in \mathfrak{w}$; notice that $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{w}$ is itself a variety (Hanna Neumann [2]).

Now let \mathfrak{a} be the variety of all abelian groups and let \mathfrak{u} be any given variety. The purpose of this paper is the proof of the

THEOREM. *The free $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{a}$ -product F of every family $\langle A_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle$ of torsion-free abelian groups is residually torsion-free nilpotent if and only if some free $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{a}$ -group X of countably infinite rank is residually torsion-free nilpotent⁽³⁾.*

K. W. Gruenberg [3] has shown that every free \mathfrak{a}^n -group is residually torsion-free nilpotent ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), where inductively

$$\mathfrak{a}^{r+1} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}^r \quad (r > 0).$$

Consequently, by the theorem, the free \mathfrak{a}^n -product of any family of torsion-free

Received by the editors November 9, 1962.

(1) Sponsored by the National Science Foundation, Grant GP 27.

(2) If \mathcal{P} is a property pertaining to groups then, according to P. Hall [9], a group G is residually \mathcal{P} if every element $x \in G$ ($x \neq 1$) can be omitted from a normal subgroup N_x such that G/N_x is \mathcal{P} .

(3) Cf. Theorem 6.2 of K. W. Gruenberg [3].

abelian groups is residually torsion-free nilpotent ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). When $n = 2$ this reduces to a theorem of Rimhak Ree [4]; the more general result answers the question raised by Ree in [4, p. 394].

It may be well to mention that we first prove the theorem when the A_λ are free abelian (Proposition 1) and then make use of an embedding theorem of A. I. Mal'cev [5] to prove the theorem in general (see Proposition 2).

2. The proof of Proposition 1. This is the first stage of the proof of the theorem of this paper. Incidentally, Proposition 1 seems interesting in itself.

PROPOSITION 1. *The free ua -product F of a family $\langle A_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle$ of free abelian groups is residually a free ua -group.*

The proof of Proposition 1 will be accomplished by introducing four lemmas. We begin with the first of these, which amounts to a generalization of a theorem of Gilbert Baumslag [6].

LEMMA 1. *Let F be the free ua -product of a family $\langle A_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle$ of free abelian groups. Furthermore, let U_λ be an infinite cyclic subgroup of A_λ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Then E , the subgroup generated by the U_λ , is a free ua -group; indeed E is the free ua -product of its subgroups U_λ .*

Proof. Suppose

$$U_\lambda = \text{gp}(u_\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda).$$

Let

$$W_\lambda = \text{gp}(w_\lambda) \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda)$$

be infinite cyclic groups and let W the free ua -product of the $W_\lambda (\lambda \in \Lambda)$.

Now for every group $C \in \text{ua}$ and every system ϕ_λ of homomorphisms of A_λ into C ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) there is a homomorphism ϕ of F into C which coincides with ϕ_λ on A_λ (cf., e.g., S. Moran [1]). Since the A_λ are free abelian it is easy to concoct a homomorphism ϕ_λ of A_λ to W_λ such that $u_\lambda \phi_\lambda \neq 1$, say

$$u_\lambda \phi_\lambda = w_\lambda^{r_\lambda} \quad (r_\lambda \neq 0).$$

Let ϕ be the homomorphism of F into W continuing the ϕ_λ . We claim, that the restriction of ϕ to E is a monomorphism.

To see this, notice that

$$E\phi = \text{gp}(w_\lambda^{r_\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda).$$

By Theorem 3 of Gilbert Baumslag [6], $E\phi$ is the free ua -product of the groups $\text{gp}(w_\lambda^{r_\lambda})$ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). Hence the mappings

$$\theta_\lambda: w_\lambda^{r_\lambda} \rightarrow u_\lambda$$

can be extended to an epimorphism θ of $E\phi$ to E . Since $\phi\theta$ is the trivial auto-

morphism when restricted to E , the restriction of ϕ to E is a monomorphism; this completes the proof.

It is worthwhile, at this point, to explain the motivation of the introduction of Lemma 1. We shall prove Proposition 1 by showing that if $f \in F (f \neq 1)$, then there exists an endomorphism \hat{f} of F such that $F\hat{f}$ is a free ua -group and $f\hat{f} \neq 1$; it is Lemma 1 that affords us with subgroups of F which are free ua -groups. The remaining lemmas that we shall need before proceeding to the actual proof of Proposition 1 are aimed at establishing the existence of such an endomorphism \hat{f} .

LEMMA 2 (K. W. GRUENBERG [3]). *Let Λ be a totally ordered set and let P be the free product of a family $\langle A_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle$ of torsion-free abelian groups. Then P' , the commutator subgroup of P , is freely generated by*

$$S = \{[b_\lambda, b_\mu, \dots, b_\rho] \mid b_\lambda \in A_\lambda, b_\mu \in A_\mu, \dots, b_\rho \in A_\rho, \\ b_\lambda \neq 1, b_\mu \neq 1, \dots, b_\rho \neq 1, \lambda > \mu, \mu < \dots < \rho\}.$$

[We have used in Lemma 2 the usual commutator notation. Consequently,

$$[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$$

and, inductively,

$$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] = [[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}], x_n] \quad (n > 2).]$$

We need next a simple combinatorial fact concerning certain sequences of integers.

LEMMA 3. *Let*

$$(e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{ir}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k)$$

be distinct r -termed sequences of integers. Then there exist integers

$$c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r$$

such that the sums

$$\sum_{j=1}^r e_{ij}c_j \quad (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\})$$

are also distinct.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 3 is a straightforward argument by induction on r . To begin with, if $r = 1$, then we need only put

$$c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_r = 1$$

to obtain the desired result.

Thus let us suppose $r > 1$ and inductively choose

$$c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{r-1}$$

so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} e_{hj} c_j = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} e_{ij} c_j$$

if and only if

$$(e_{h1}, e_{h2}, \dots, e_{hr-1}) = (e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{ir-1}),$$

where $h, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. We are left now with the choice of c_r . To this end let

$$h, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\} \quad (h \neq i).$$

Consider the equation

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} e_{hj} c_j + x e_{hr} = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} e_{ij} c_j + x e_{ir}.$$

This equation (1) has at most one solution. To see this notice that the sequences

$$(e_{h1}, e_{h2}, \dots, e_{hr}), \quad (e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{ir})$$

are distinct. So if $e_{hr} = e_{ir}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} e_{hj} c_j \neq \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} e_{ij} c_j$$

and (1) does not have a solution. On the other hand, if $e_{hr} \neq e_{ir}$, then (1) clearly has a single solution. It follows that there are at most finitely many integers which satisfy at least one of the finitely many equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} e_{hj} c_j + x e_{hr} = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} e_{ij} c_j + x e_{ir} \quad (h, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, h \neq i).$$

We can therefore choose c_r in accordance with the requirements of the lemma and this then completes the proof.

Suppose now that we assume the notation of Lemma 2. We shall say that

$$s (= [b_\lambda, b_\mu, \dots, b_\rho]) \in S$$

involves A_α ($\alpha \in \Lambda$) if

$$\alpha \in \{\lambda, \mu, \dots, \rho\},$$

and we say that the A_α contribution to s is b_α . Then the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 4. *Let α be a fixed element of Λ and let b be part of a basis for A_α . Further let*

$$s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k \quad (s_i \neq s_j \text{ if } i \neq j)$$

be elements of S all of which involve A_α . Then there exists an endomorphism η_α of P , which is the identity on A_β ($\beta \neq \alpha$), such that

- (i) $s_1\eta_\alpha, s_2\eta_\alpha, \dots, s_k\eta_\alpha$ are distinct elements of S ,
- (ii) $s_1\eta_\alpha, s_2\eta_\alpha, \dots, s_k\eta_\alpha$ involve A_α , and
- (iii) $A_\alpha\eta_\alpha \leq \text{gp}(b)$.

Proof. Let a_i be the A_α -contribution of s_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$). Then we choose a subset

$$(2) \quad b_1 = b, b_2, \dots, b_r$$

of a basis B of A_α such that

$$a_i \in \text{gp}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Then

$$a_i = b_1^{e_{i1}} b_2^{e_{i2}} \dots b_r^{e_{ir}} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Consider the k sequences

$$(3) \quad (e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{ir}) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Since $a_i \neq 1$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$), none of the sequences $(e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{ir})$ consists entirely of zeros. If we add to the sequences (3) the sequence

$$(0, 0, \dots, 0),$$

then it follows from Lemma 3 that we can find integers c_1, c_2, \dots, c_r such that, firstly,

$$(4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r e_{ij}c_j \neq 0$$

and secondly, if $h, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r e_{hj}c_j = \sum_{j=1}^r e_{ij}c_j \text{ if and only if } (e_{h1}, e_{h2}, \dots, e_{hr}) = (e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{ir}).$$

We are now in a position to define η_α . To begin with we define the effect of η_α on A_β ($\beta \neq \alpha$) to be the identity mapping. Next we define the action of η_α on A_α by specifying its action on a basis of A_α . We consider the basis B involved in (2); thus we put

$$x\eta_\alpha = 1 \text{ if } x \notin \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r\} \quad (x \in B),$$

and, finally, define

$$b_i\eta_\alpha = b^{c_i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, r).$$

By (4), (5) and Lemma 2 it follows that if $h, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$, then

$$a_h \eta_a = a_i \eta_a \text{ if and only if } a_h = a_i.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4 (cf. Lemma 2).

It is not difficult now to deduce Proposition 1. Thus let us suppose that F is the free $u\alpha$ -product of the free abelian groups A_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). Then

$$F = P/u\alpha(P),$$

where P is the free product of the groups A_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). It is therefore sufficient, for the proof of Proposition 1, to show that if

$$w \in P, w \notin u\alpha(P)$$

then there exists a homomorphism η of P into a free $u\alpha$ -group such that

$$w\eta \neq 1,$$

since the kernel K of η will necessarily contain $u\alpha(P)$.

If $w \notin P'$, then it is easy, on noting that P/P' is free abelian, to find a homomorphism η of P into an infinite cyclic group (i.e., a free $u\alpha$ -group) so that $w\eta \neq 1$.

Thus we may suppose $w \in P'$. Now, by Lemma 2,

$$w = s_1^{\varepsilon_1} s_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots s_k^{\varepsilon_k} \quad (\varepsilon_i = \pm 1, s_i \in S).$$

It follows easily, by a repeated application of Lemma 4, that there exists an endomorphism η^* of P such that

- (i) $A_\lambda \eta^*$ is an infinite cyclic subgroup of A_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$),
- (ii) $s_i \eta^* \in S$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$),
- (iii) $s_i \eta^* = s_j \eta^*$ if and only if $s_i = s_j$ ($i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$).

Now by Lemma 2, S is a free set of generators of the free group

$$P' = \alpha(P).$$

Consequently, as η^* is one-to-one on

$$\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\},$$

by (iii), there is certainly an automorphism μ of $\alpha(P)$ such that

$$s_i \mu = s_i \eta^* \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Therefore

$$(6) \quad w\eta^* (= w\mu) \notin u(\alpha(P)) (= u\alpha(P))$$

since

$$w \notin u\alpha(P).$$

Since $u\alpha(P)$ is fully invariant the mapping

$$\eta: x \rightarrow x\eta^* u\alpha(P)$$

is a homomorphism of P into $P\eta^*u\alpha(P)/u\alpha(P)$. But by (i) and Lemma 1, $P\eta$ is a free $u\alpha$ -group. Furthermore, by (6),

$$w\eta \neq 1.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

We would like to place on record the obvious conjecture that arises in connection with Proposition 1.

CONJECTURE. Let G be the free u -product of a family of free $(u \cap \alpha)$ -groups. Then G is residually a free u -group.

3. **Proposition 2.** A group K is termed *radical* if extraction of roots is always possible in K . A. I. Mal'cev [5] has shown that a torsion-free nilpotent group can always be embedded in a torsion-free nilpotent radical group of the same class. Suppose now that K is a torsion-free nilpotent group and that K^* is a torsion-free nilpotent radical group containing K ; K^* is called a *completion* of K if every radical subgroup of K^* containing K coincides with K^* . It is easy to see then that every torsion-free nilpotent group K has a completion K^* ; moreover two completions of K are isomorphic (A. I. Mal'cev [5]). We need some additional information about K^* .

PROPOSITION 2. Let K be a torsion-free nilpotent group. If K belongs to a variety \mathfrak{v} then so does every completion K^* of K .

Proof. It is easy to see that if every finitely generated subgroup of K^* lies in \mathfrak{v} then so does K^* (cf. e.g. Hanna Neumann [2]).

Thus let H be a finitely generated subgroup of K^* :

$$H = \text{gp}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n).$$

Put

$$L = H \cap K.$$

By a theorem of A. I. Mal'cev (cf. e.g. A. G. Kurosh [7, p. 248, Volume 2]), there is an integer r such that $a_i^r \in K$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), i.e.,

$$(7) \quad a_i^r \in L.$$

Let p be a prime chosen so that

$$(8) \quad (p, r) = 1.$$

Now, by a theorem of K. W. Gruenberg [3] the normal subgroups of p -power index in a finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group intersect in the identity. Thus if $x \in H$ ($x \neq 1$) we can find N , normal in H , such that $x \notin N$ and H/N is of order a power of p . But by (7) and (8) it follows that

$$a_i \in LN \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Hence

$$LN/N = H/N.$$

But

$$LN/N \cong L/L \cap N.$$

Therefore $v(H) \leq N$ since L (and so also $L/L \cap N$) $\in v$. Consequently

$$x \notin v(H),$$

and so $v(H) = 1$, i.e., $H \in v$. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.

4. The proof of the main result. We recall that the object of this paper is the proof of the

THEOREM. *Let u be any variety and let a be the variety of abelian groups. Then the free ua -product of every family of torsion-free abelian groups is residually torsion-free nilpotent if and only if some free ua -group of countably infinite rank is residually torsion-free nilpotent.*

Proof. The one part of the theorem is trivial.

For the other let us suppose that some free ua -group of infinite rank is residually torsion-free nilpotent. Then, clearly, every free ua -group is residually torsion-free nilpotent.

Now let $\langle A_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda \rangle$ be a family of torsion-free abelian groups and let F be their free ua -product. Furthermore, let

$$f \in F \quad (f \neq 1).$$

We can find (cf., e.g., L. Fuchs [8]) free abelian subgroups B_λ of A_λ such that

- (i) A_λ/B_λ is periodic ($\lambda \in \Lambda$),
- (ii) $f \in \text{gp}(B_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda)$.

We choose, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, an isomorphic copy \bar{B}_λ of B_λ and consider their free ua -product \bar{B} . Then, by Proposition 1, \bar{B} is residually torsion-free and nilpotent. Consequently, \bar{B} is a subgroup of a cartesian product C of torsion-free nilpotent groups T_i ($i \in I$) (cf., e.g., K. W. Gruenberg [3]):

$$C = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i.$$

Let T_i^* be the completion of T_i and let C^* be the cartesian product of the T_i^* :

$$C^* = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i^*.$$

Now it is easy to see, on noting that C^* is radical and torsion-free, that there is a completion C_λ of \bar{B}_λ in C^* . So by the choice of the subgroup B_λ of A_λ (see (i)

above) it follows that there is a monomorphism σ_λ of A_λ into C_λ mapping B_λ isomorphically onto \bar{B}_λ ; let $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda$ be the restriction of σ_λ to B_λ .

The groups $T_i \in \mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{a}$; hence by Proposition 2, $T_i^* \in \mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{a}$. But then $C^* \in \mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{a}$. This means that the system of mappings σ_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) can be continued to a homomorphism σ of F into C^* .

Let B be the subgroup generated by the B_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$). Then σ induces an epimorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ from B to \bar{B} . Indeed we claim that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an isomorphism. To see this we recall that \bar{B} is the free $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{a}$ -product of the groups \bar{B}_λ . Hence the homomorphisms

$$\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda^{-1}: \bar{B}_\lambda \rightarrow B_\lambda$$

can be extended to a homomorphism $\bar{\sigma}$ from \bar{B} to B . It follows immediately that $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ are mutually inverse; hence $\tilde{\sigma}$ is one-to-one (and so B is the free $\mathfrak{u}\mathfrak{a}$ -product of its subgroups B_λ ($\lambda \in \Lambda$); this represents a partial generalization of a theorem of Gilbert Baumslag [6]).

But now the one-to-oneness of $\tilde{\sigma}$ implies

$$f\sigma (=f\tilde{\sigma}) \neq 1.$$

So there is a normal subgroup N of F , which does not contain f , such that F/N is torsion-free nilpotent. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. S. Moran, *Associative operations on groups*. I, Proc. London Math. Soc. **6** (1956), 581–596.
2. Hanna Neumann, *On varieties of groups and their associated near-rings*, Math. Z. **65** (1956), 36–69.
3. K. W. Gruenberg, *Residual properties of infinite soluble groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **7** (1957), 29–62.
4. R. Ree, *Commutator groups of free products of torsion-free abelian groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **66** (1957), 380–394.
5. A. I. Mal'cev, *Nilpotent torsion-free groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **13** (1949), 201–212.
6. G. Baumslag, *Some subgroup theorems for free \mathfrak{v} -groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 516–525.
7. A. G. Kurosh, *The theory of groups*, Chelsea, New York, 1955. (English transl.)
8. L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Pergamon, Budapest, 1958.
9. P. Hall, *The splitting properties of relatively free groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **4** (1954), 343–356.

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY,
NEW YORK, NEW YORK